



SYNOPSIS EVALUATION REPORT

(Note: Please type all the Candidate Details, Names and details of RAC members, other available data before taking print. In all places where a tick mark (✓) has to be made, make that tick mark in wet ink (using pen) after taking the printout)

| Candidate Details | |
|---|--|
| Candidate's Name: | |
| Enrolment No. | |
| Date of Registration | |
| Faculty | |
| Department | |
| Supervisor: (give complete affiliation) | |
| Co Supervisor(s) (give complete affiliation) | |
| Proposed Topic of the Research* | |
| Final Title of the Research <i>(if amended by FRC):</i> | |
| Date of Synopsis Evaluation | |

**Type in Title Case*

FRC REPORT ON THE PhD RESEARCH PROTOCOL SYNOPSIS

1. The Title of Candidate's research work is:

- accepted as it is
 suggested to be amended*

**The amended Title is to be written on the Page 1 of this Synopsis Evaluation Report. The Candidate will change the Cover page of the Synopsis before final submission of hard copy and soft copy)*

2. The Review of related Literature:

- is up to date about the field of study
 Yes
 No
- correlates with the proposed objectives
 Yes
 No

3. The Statement of the Problem of the proposed research work:

(more than one option can be applicable)

- is clear
 is not clear
- describes precisely the issues that need to be addressed
- needs minor revision
 needs major revision

4. The rationale of the Study:

- addresses a gap in the existing literature
 Yes
 No
- is justifiable to undertake this study
 Yes
 No

5. The Hypotheses, variables and operational definitions *(wherever applicable)* are: framed properly:

- Yes
 No
 Not applicable

6. The Objectives of the proposed research work in the Synopsis are:

- well defined
 need clarity
- adequate in number
 inadequate in number
- clearly measurable
 difficult to assess
- need minor revision
 need major revision

7. The Material & Methods proposed are:

- suitable for the proposed research
 Yes
 No
- utilize the latest techniques and instruments
 Yes
 No
- adequate to deliver all proposed objectives
 Yes
 No



8. Ethical considerations:

- are not involved
- are involved but need to be adequately addressed
- are involved and adequately addresses

9. Course Work considerations:

- The Course Work pursued by the Candidate is adequate
- Candidate needs to be prescribed more Course Work (CW)

Additional Course work prescribed for the Candidate and their method of assessment are: *(to be prescribed when synopsis is approved. Please strike out if not applicable)*

| | |
|---|------------|
| Name of CW: <div style="text-align: right;"><i>Assessment Method</i></div> | __ credits |
| Name of CW: <div style="text-align: right;"><i>Assessment Method</i></div> | __ credits |

10. We recommend that the Synopsis for the proposed Research work is:

- approved
- approved but subject to minor revision after incorporation of comments below
- needs major revision and recommended to be presented again in next FRC meeting
- rejected

The FRC has to **compulsorily write specific comments** below for minor revision/ major revision/ rejection:



Signature of the Supervisor

Date:

Signature of the Co-Supervisor

(If applicable)

Date:

Signature of HoD

Date:

Signature of the Dean

Date:

Signature of the External Expert

Date:

◆.....◆
THE OFFICE OF R&D

The recommendations of the FRC are:

accepted

not accepted

If not accepted, please comment:

Signature of the Dean (R&D)

Date:

Signature of the Vice Chancellor

Date: